(1) (3)
CHOOL

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2876 /2003

PETITIONER

P. R. No. 9219/03
Presented by Shri S. Junjani
dated 10.09.03

Jagat Narayan Shukla S/o Late Sri Keshav Prasad Shukla aged about 55 yrs, Cast-General, Occu-Asst. Grade II, C.G.H.S. Board, Raipur, Permanent Address – S-447, Nehru Nagar, Bhopal (M.P.)
Present Address – H-13, C.G.H.S. Board Premises, Pension Bada Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
10.9.
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India thorough Secretary Deptt. Of Personnel & Training, Govt. Of India New Delhi

2. State of Chhattisgarh through secretary Chhattisgarh Hihger Secondary Board D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur C.G.

3. State of Madhya Pradesh through Secretary M.P. Higher Secondary Board Vallabha Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

Advance Copy
Annexures on 10/9/03.
dly.
for Shri A.S.GAHARWAR
STCGSC

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI.

Amended by order dated

(01) PARTICULARS ABOUT THE PETITIONER:

The details of the Petitioner are shown in the cause title.

(02) PARTICULARS ABOUT THE RESPONDENTS :

The details of the respondents are shown in the cause title.

(03) PARTICULARS ABOUT THE ORDERS AGAINST WHICH THE PETITION IS BEING MADE:

सपथ आयुक्त
एस. के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर (सहसंचालक क्षेत्र)
3/16/13

Hs.
Adv.

(1) (3)
CT 1001

(2) State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Education Department D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur.

(3) Secretary Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur.

(4) State of M.P. through Secretary School education Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal M.P.

(5) Secretary M.P.Higher Secondary Board Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal M.P.

Attested
Advocate
Amended by M.A. Court order dated 15.9.03
15/9/03

Hs.
Adv

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(113)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2876 सन् 2003

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर संहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

7-4-2005

On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing.

Mrs. Hamida Siddique, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Beriwal, Senior Panel Counsel for the Union of India/ respondent No.1.

Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh/ respondent No.2.

Mr. Shailendra Shukla, counsel for respondent No.4.

Heard.

The case of the petitioner is that he is working as Assistant Grade-II at Raipur in Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board, and at the time of reorganisation of the State, he gave option for the State of Madhya Pradesh as his children are studying at Bhopal and his family is victim of Bhopal Gas Tragedy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that ignoring the option of the petitioner he has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh, and being a low paid employee it is difficult for the petitioner to maintain his family at two places, as such, in the interest of justice, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the Union of India through the Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board and also the Madhya Pradesh Higher Secondary Board, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

The limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be genuine, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 through the Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board and Madhya Pradesh Higher Secondary Board, Bhopal, within a period of 15 days from today, and on receiving the representation of the petitioner the respondents viz., both the Boards after considering the

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.2-876/ **सन्** 2003

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	representation of the petitioner shall forward their views/comments to the Union of India within three months from the date of receipt of the representation from the petitioner, and in turn, the Union of India shall decide the same within a period of another six months thereof, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.Nos.8604/2003 and 10171/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	